Exhibit A

```
Page 1
             IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 1
               FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                         HOUSTON DIVISION
     In re:
                                 S
                                      Chapter 11
                                 §
     BRAZOS ELECTRIC POWER
                                 §
 4
     COOPERATIVE, INC.
                                 §
                                    Case No.
 5
                                 §
                                      21-30725 (DRJ)
           Debtor.
                                 §
 6
                                 S
                                 S
 7
     BRAZOS ELECTRIC POWER
                                 S
     COOPERATIVE, INC.
 8
                                 §
            Plaintiff
                                 S
                                 §
                                      Adv. Proc. No.
 9
     VS.
                                 §
                                     21-03863 (DRJ)
10
                                 §
     ELECTRIC RELIABILITY
11
     COUNCIL OF TEXAS, INC.
                                 S
                                 S
12
           Defendant
                                 S
13
14
15
                 VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION OF
16
17
                       TRAVIS DEAN THRALL
18
                           Waco, Texas
19
                    Friday, November 5, 2021
20
21
22
      Reported by:
23
24
      MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR
25
      JOB NO. 202310
```

Page 8 D. THRALL - 11/05/2021 1 Eric Wade, Unsecured MR. WADE: 3 Creditors Committee. MR. PARKER: Ross Parker here on 4 behalf of the Electric Reliability Council of 5 6 Texas. 7 MS. ANDERSON: Toni Anderson for ERCOT. 8 MR. ALIBHAI: Jamil Alibhai for ERCOT. 9 10 MR. PARKER: On Zoom? 11 TRAVIS DEAN THRALL, called as a witness, having been duly sworn by a 12 13 Notary Public, was examined and testified as 14 follows: 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER: 16 Mr. Thrall, please state your name for 17 the record. 18 Travis Dean Thrall. 19 Α. 20 Q. And do you prefer to go by Dean? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Dean, can I call you Dean or you want Ο. me to call you Mr. Thrall? 23 24 Α. Dean is fine. 25 Okay. Thank you, sir. Dean, my name Q.

Page 32

- 1 D. THRALL 11/05/2021
- the least efficient from a heat rating standpoint?
- 3 A. They have better efficiency than
- 4 Miller 4 and 5, but their cost to be online is
- 5 higher than 4 and 5, and 4 and 5 is just a lot of
- 6 times used as a peaker unit.
- 7 Q. What is the total daily megawatt
- 8 generation at Miller from the five units, if
- 9 Miller 1 was running?
- 10 A. I believe all six of them, if you're
- 11 looking at a realtime, I believe is 610 megawatts.
- 12 O. You said all six of them.
- 13 A. All five of them. Sorry.
- Q. So you think Miller, in an optimal
- 15 situation with Miller 1 running, it will produce
- 16 610 megawatts a day?
- 17 A. That would be the realtime. I
- 18 don't -- I couldn't guess what the day would be.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- MR. TRAHAN: Ross, before you go on,
- 21 you've raised some -- you've asked some questions
- 22 about winterization, and with respect to, I think
- 23 it was Exhibit No. 2 and now you've asked some
- 24 questions about generation and capacity in
- 25 connection with Exhibit 3. I expect more

Case 21-03863 Document 294-1 Filed in TXSB on 01/07/22 Page 5 of 7 Page 33 D. THRALL - 11/05/2021 1 2. questions about those topics generally, winterization and generation capacity, given the 3 documents that you shared with us yesterday 4 evening. I'd like to make a running -- a 5 6 relevance objection to those two topics, as opposed to just objecting each time you ask a 7 related question. 8 9 MR. PARKER: So your objection is 10 form?

- MR. TRAHAN: My objection's going to
- 13 running objection. We object to the relevance of

be form, but I want you to understand it if it's a

- 14 those two topics --
- 15 MR. PARKER: Okay.
- MR. TRAHAN: -- winterization or 16
- generation capacity. Are you okay with me --17
- 18 MR. PARKER: You have your form
- objection. 19

11

12

- MR. TRAHAN: You want me to have to 20
- lodge it each time? 21
- 22 MR. PARKER: No, I'm fine with your
- running -- it's a running form objection pursuant 23
- 24 to Texas rules, but that's fine. You can have a
- 25 running objection.

Page 34 D. THRALL - 11/05/2021 1 A running form objection MR. TRAHAN: for questions relating to winterization or 3 generation capacity. 4 5 MR. PARKER: Fair enough. 6 MR. TRAHAN: All right. Thank you. 7 BY MR. PARKER: So Miller, 610 megawatts a day on 8 Ο. the -- if it's running -- if Miller -- if Unit 1 9 is running, right? 10 It wouldn't be potentially per day. 11 That's the maximum HSL. On a given momentary 12 13 basis, it could be. So it would be whatever 14 megawatt it ran times the hours of day that it ran 15 to get you the megawatt hours for that day, which we wouldn't know because it's -- the ERCOT market 16 moves it around to whatever the need is based on 17 18 the bid curve. So I can't tell you what the expected output is that day other than the 19 20 runtimes and the LSL and HSL that we've given ERCOT and if we've sold ancillaries or not or if 21 we've sold energy. The actual amount it can 22 produce on a daily basis is unknown. 23 24 MR. PARKER: I'm going to object as 25 nonresponsive.

1	CERTIFICATE	Page 240
2		
3	STATE OF	
4)) ss.:	
5	COUNTY OF)	
6		
7	I, MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, a Notary	
8	Public within and for the State of Texas, do	
9	hereby certify:	
10	That TRAVIS DEAN THRALL, the witness	
11	whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was	
12	duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a	
13	true record of the testimony given by such	
14	witness.	
15	I further certify that I am not	
16	related to any of the parties to this action by	
17	blood or marriage; and that I am in no way	
18	interested in the outcome of this matter.	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto	
20	set my hand this 9th day of November, 2021.	
21		
22	White & green	
23		
24	MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, RDR, CRR	
25		